

1/12/94

PRED)

Subject: Site Review Trip Report

Stephen D. Jarvela, OSC /5/

To: File

From:

cc: Gregg Crystal, Chief

Eastern Response Section

## Background

During October and November of 1993 the Technical Assistance Team Implemented the site review workplan that was prepared in September. The SRWP incorporated comments received from the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR); WV Department of Health (WVDOH); Concerned Citizens to Save Fayette County (CCSFC); Berwin Land Corporation; and the Shaffer Company Attorney. The SRWP was prepared to collect sufficient data to accomplish and document the following; evaluate the status of the initial fund financed removal action remedy; assess and reconcile anomalies identified during the file review; and document and evaluate current site conditions, prepare and evaluate future actions.

## Status

A site review trip report has been prepared by the TAT and has been forwarded to all interested parties for their information or evaluation. If you have any questions or would like to review this report an extra copy is available in the OSCs site file.

## Future Plans

I have asked those interested parties to review the trip report and identify any concerns or questions that they might have. I will be scheduling a meeting with the aforementioned interested parties to discuss this site. In preparation for that meeting I have asked that they (as will I and TAT) review all the information they have and evaluate the risk to the public health or the environment posed by this site. I have asked that they develop options for addressing the risks they have identified for EPA consideration.

I intend to discuss each and every issue or concern the they have raised in the past or may now raise about the Shaffer Site. I intend to prepare a written response, documenting each concern and EPAs response for every issue raised.

The past file review and the resent site visits indicate a need for some corrective and quite possibly mitigative action. While it is premature to discuss the scope of such action, everyone will be given an opportunity to present and defend their preferred option at the meeting. All options will be discussed, documented, and will be incorporated in the OSCs recommendation.